## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Debra Cook,	
Plaintiff,	Hon. Janet T. Neff
v.	Case No. 1:14-cv-01320
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
Defendant.	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on <u>Stipulation for Allowance of Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act</u>. (ECF No. 15). Plaintiff's counsel seeks \$2,250.00 in fees. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 636(b)(1)(B), the undersigned recommends that the motion be **granted**.

Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), the prevailing party in an action seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of Social Security may apply for an award of fees and costs incurred in bringing the action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). While a prevailing party is not simply entitled, as a matter of course, to attorney fees under the EAJA, *see United States* v. 0.376 Acres of Land, 838 F.2d 819, 825 (6th Cir. 1988), fees and costs are to be awarded unless the Court finds that the Commissioner's position was "substantially justified" or that "special circumstances make an award unjust." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Defendant has not asserted that her position was substantially justified.

On February 1, 2016, the Honorable Janet T. Neff reversed the Commissioner's decision and remanded the matter for further factual findings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

Case 1:14-cv-01320-JTN-ESC ECF No. 18 filed 10/25/16 PageID.384 Page 2 of 2

Counsel now moves for an award of attorney's fees pursuant to the EAJA. The parties have stipulated

to an award of \$2,250.00. The Court finds the number of hours expended in this matter, as well as the

hourly rate requested, to be reasonable. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that EAJA fees be

awarded in the amount of two thousand, two hundred fifty dollars and zero cents (\$2,250.00). However,

in light of the Supreme Court's decision in Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586 (2010), the undersigned

further recommends that any judgment or order entered for EAJA fees be entered in Plaintiff's favor.

Id. at 591-93; see also, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A) (the EAJA awards fees "to a prevailing party").

**CONCLUSION** 

For the reasons articulated herein, the undersigned recommends that <u>Joint Stipulation</u>

for Allowance of Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act, (ECF No. 15), be granted and Plaintiff

awarded two thousand, two hundred fifty dollars and zero cents (\$2,250.00) pursuant to the Equal

Access to Justice Act.

OBJECTIONS to this report and recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court

within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure to file

objections within such time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 25, 2016

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

-2-